

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/017,589	12/18/2001		Dong Sung Kim	HI-0059	6167		
34610	7590	05/02/2006		EXAMINER			
FLESHNE	R & KIM	, LLP		HSU, ALPUS			
P.O. BOX 2 CHANTILL		0153		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
	·			2616			
				DATE MAILED: 05/02/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/017,589	KIM, DONG SUNG	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alpus H. Hsu	2616	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statur Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply t will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te, cause the application to become ABAN	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 / 2a) ■ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ■ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters	• •	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 19-26 is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) 13-18 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	own from consideration.	•	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the option of the correct and the option of the second and the correct and the option of the	cepted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ction is required if the drawing(s)	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. △ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Application of the control of t	ication No ceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		mary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/017,589 Page 2

Art Unit: 2616

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I (Claims 1-18) in the reply filed on February 23, 2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the search and examination of an entire application could be made without serious burden. This is not found persuasive because the two different groups of inventions are clearly distinct and require separate searches in two different classes. Therefore, the thorough search and examination for two distinct inventions in two different classes definitely imposes serious burden on the examiner.

Therefore, the restriction requirement has been sustained, and Group II (Claims 19-26) has not been treated on the merit.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, line 2, it is confusing for reciting "processing packet data" since it is the voice data to be formatted as in line 1 of the preamble. How is the packet data in line 2 related to the voice data in line 1?

In claim 9, lines 2 and 7, it is confusing for reciting "the framing information" and "the BTS". Is it referring to the same framing information and same BTS as in claim 1? If they were, then, it would be improper for a device to send and receive the same information to/from itself.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by HAN in U.S. Patent No. 6,333,927.

Regarding claims 1-8, HAN discloses a method for formatting voice data comprising: processing packet data received from a base transceiver station (BTS) (col. 1, lines 47-53); expanding the processed packet data using a fixed code rate rule (col. 2, lines 50-52); and transmitting the expanded packet data with framing information to a mobile switching center (MSC) (col. 3, lines 3-10).

- 6. Claims 9-12 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. Claims 13-18 are allowed.
- 8. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The subject matter of claims 13-18 is allowable over the prior art of record because all prior arts fail to teach or suggest a method for formatting voice data in a mobile telecommunication system, wherein the system has a first base station controller (BSC) that converts the voice data received from a first base transceiver station (BTS) into a fixed packet format and transmits the converted voice data to a mobile station controller (MSC); and a second BSC that converts the voice data received from the MSC into the fixed packet format and transmits the converted voice data to a second BTS, the method comprising: expanding the voice

data, from the first BTS, and pre-designated information using a fixed code rate rule at the first BSC; inserting synchronous information into the expanded voice data at the first BSC; transmitting the expanded voice data with the synchronous information to the MSC; extracting the synchronous information from the expanded voice data received from the MSC; restoring the voice data by removing redundant information of the expanded voice data added by the fixed code rate rule expansion; processing the restored voice data at the second BSC; and transmitting the processed voice data to the second BTS.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Lohman, Lu et al., Timbs et al., Sauer et al. '543 & '950, and baker et al. are cited to show the feature of audio/speech/voice data transmission in mobile communication system utilizing the vocoder/transcoder for .packet processing similar to the claimed invention.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alpus H. Hsu whose telephone number is (571)272-3146. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (5:30-3:00) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wellington Chin can be reached on (571)272-3134. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/017,589 Page 5

Art Unit: 2616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AHH

Alpus H. Hsu Primary Examiner Art Unit 2616

Mans v. vgan